

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiesa: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/759,695	01/16/2004	Gerianne Tringali DiPiano	FEM 105	8448	
23579 Pahst Patent G	23579 7590 02/17/2009 Pabst Patent Group LLP			EXAMINER	
1545 PEACHTRÉE STREET NE			VU, QUYNH-NHU HOANG		
SUITE 320 ATLANTA, GA 30309			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3763		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/17/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

(1) QUYNH-NHU H. VU.

 Application No.
 Applicant(s)

 10/759,695
 DIPIANO ET AL.

 Examiner
 Art Unit

 QUYNH-NHU H. VU
 3763

(3)Rivka D. Monheit.

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(2) Nick Lucchesi.	(4) Geri DiPiano, John Ziemnisk, Joel Martin.					
Date of Interview: 05 February 2009.						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2) applicant's representative]					
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1 and 10</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Jones (US 2,847,011</u> )	<u>)</u> .					
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.	g) was not reached. h) N/A.					
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: During the interview, references of Jones was disscussed. The Examiner will consider the admenments upon Applicant filling the formal response.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
	Date of Interview: 05 February 2009.  Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes If Yes, brief description:  Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 10.  Identification of prior art discussed: 1 ones (US 2,847.011)  Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached.  Substance of Interview including description of the gener reached, or any other comments: During the interview. re consider the admentments upon Applicant filling the form (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amer allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attach THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to it GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGE! INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MALING DATE OF THE INTERVIEW DATE. OR THE MALING DATOC OF THE INTER					